

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,496	10/20/2003	Lloyd F. Linder	535352003700	4268
7590 10/17/2007 David T. Yang, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Morrison & Foerster LLP			TON, MY TRANG	
Suite 3500 555 West Fifth Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Angeles, CA 90013-1024			2816	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/17/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) LINDER ET AL. 10/689,496 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 3731 Tuan V. Nguyen All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Tuan V. Nguyen. (2) Kristin Neuman (Reg. No. 35,530). Date of Interview: 05 October 2007. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e)⊠ No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 14 and 26. Identification of prior art discussed: References that were used in Office Action mailed 5/30/07. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. q) was not reached. h) \times N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Claims 14 and 26 were discussed with respect to the references were cited by examier in previous Office Action. The Examiner maintained that the Boston Scientific Sale Order is a proper prior art of record and the combination of the references was proper and supported by motivations. However, applicant's representative disagreed. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. ANHTUAN T. NGUYEN SUPERVISORY PATENT/EXAMINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413 (Rev. 04-03)

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required